

Tabelle1

Landing Performance	Subjects	Subject details	Expectations
Requirements	Subjects	Subject details	Expectations
compare	LDA LDR actual/demonstrated LD		without security margins, LDR=167% of demonstrated LD
nep state	influence of approach speed on landing distance use of thrust reverser use of anti skid	Speed categorie: A - E	higher speed => longer distance influence to LD during RWY-contamination, MEL? considered in calculation
nep	factors of influence on landing distance		RWY cond., appr. speed corr., GW, HWC-TWC, flapsetting, PA, OAT, MEL/CDL-Items. No Stopway.
nep	Overweight Landing avoidance	Ref OM-A	increase tripfuel by using amount of (%) from contingency fuel
extract	Landing Climb Performance (Gear down)		
extract	Approach Climb Performance (Gear up)		
state	Manual Landing		
distinguish	Auto brake/manual brake		
compute	Landing Distance Required	OM-B	+15% for wet RWY